

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington DO 20030,
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/382,096	(	08 24 1999	HELLE OUTTRUP	5442.400-US	480)	
25908	7590	02 25 2003				
NOVOZYN	MES NOF	RTH AMERICA, I	EXAMINER			
500 FIFTH A SUITE 1600			PATTERSON, CHARLES L JR			
NEW YORK, NY 10110				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1652			
				DATE MAILED: 02/25/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	lacksquare	09/382,096	OUTTRUP ET AL.					
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<del>.</del>				
		Charles L. Patterso	n, Jr. 1652					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover s	heet with the correspondence add	dress				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, howevery within the statutory minim will apply and will expire SIX, cause the application to b	r, may a reply be timely filed um of thirty (30) days will be considered timely (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co- ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) 🗹	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 5	lune 2001 .						
2a)⊡	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Th	is action is non-fina	ıl.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
-	ion of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-8 and 16-19 is/are pending in the application.								
_	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)∐	<u> </u>							
·								
7) 🗀	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		1					
∟∟(8 Applicati	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers	r election requirem	ent.					
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 30 November 2000 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
* <u>c</u>	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
_a	)  The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	visional application	has been received.	арриодиону.				
Attachmen		o priority under 55	5.5.5. 38 120 and/01 121.					
1)  Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 N	terview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s otice of Informal Patent Application (PTC ther:					

Application/Control Number: 09/382,096

Art Unit: 1652

Applicant requested a suspension of action on this application for three months under 37 CFR 1.103(a) on 6/4/01 and the application was actually suspended on 9/11/01. Since that time has now elapsed an action is being done on the application.

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 6/4/01 has been entered.

A PTO-948 noting problems with the drawings was mailed with the action of 12/5/00, Faper No. 8. Since applicants can no longer ask that drawing corrections be held in abeyance, corrected drawings must be submitted with the answer to this action.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. [3] 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Claim 5 claims retention of >90% activity under certain conditions at 25° C and <90% activity at 30° C. There is apparently no teaching of the activity of the enzyme under these conditions in the instant specification.

Therefore one of ordinary skill in the art could not use the invention according to the limitations in the instant claim. This rejection is repeated for the reasons given in the last action. Applicants arguments have been

Application/Control Number: 09/382,096

Art Unit: 1652

carefully considered but do not overcome the instant rejection. Applicants argue that the claim is enabled and "clearly has activity" because it depends upon claim 1 and "retains activity under the conditions specified in the claim and described in the specification at page 5". The examiner has examined page 5 of the specification and does not find any mention of these conditions.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mitsugi, et al. (A) or Bcyer, et al. (B or C). Applicants re-state the rejection except for leaving out reference to Boyer, et al. (C) and state that they have filed a request to suspend the application. The two month period for the suspension has long since expired without any further reply from applicants.

Application/Control Number: 09/382,096

Art Unit: 1652

Claims 8 and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mitsugi, et al. (A) or Boyer, et al. (B or C). This rejection has not been separately argued from the 35 U.S.C. 102(b) rejection, which has been addressed infra.

All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under 37 CFR 1.114. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL even though it is a first action after the filing of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles L. Patterson, Jr., PhD, whose telephone number is 703-308-1834. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:30-4:00.

Application/Control Number: 09/382,096

Art Unit: 1652

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapura Achutamurthy can be reached on 703-308-3804. The fax phone number is 703-308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Charles L. Patterson, Jr. Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1652

Patterson
February 21, 2003